

Pediatric Blood and Marrow Transplant Adult Blood and Marrow Transplant Stem Cell Laboratory

DOCUMENT NUMBER: COMM-PAS-015		
DOCUMENT TITLE: Corrective and Preventive Actions		
DOCUMENT NOTES:		
Document Information		
Revision: 01	Vault: COMM-PAS-rel	
Status: Release	Document Type: COMM-PAS	
Date Information		
Creation Date: 20 Jun 2025	Release Date: 01 Jul 2025	
Effective Date: 01 Jul 2025	Expiration Date:	
Control Information		
Author: MC363	Owner: MC363	
Previous Number: None	Change Number: PAS-CCR-043	

COMM-PAS-0152 Corrective and Preventive Actions

1 PURPOSE

1.1 The purpose of this procedure is to provide system instructions and to assign responsibilities for the identification, assignment, implementation, verification, and closure of a Corrective and Preventive Action (CAPA).

2 INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 This Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) describes the process to be followed for the CAPA process used in the Adult and Pediatric Blood and Marrow Transplant Program (APBMT) and Stem Cell Laboratory (STCL).
- 2.2 A CAPA process is necessary to mitigate system nonconformities and performance problems with respect to many areas, including but not limited to quality systems, manufacturing, customer complaints, or discrepancies cited during internal and external audits performed at the facility or its associated programs.

3 SCOPE AND RESPONSIBILITIES

- 3.1 Trained personnel are responsible for completing COMM-PAS-015 FRM1 *CAPA Report* and modifying step routes as needed to ensure the applicable Supervisor/Manager, Subject Matter Expert (SMEs), and Medical Director are included.
- 3.2 The Medical Director and the APBMT Clinical Quality Program (CQP) evaluate and approve/or reject all CAPAs via electronic signature.
- 3.3 Operations/Manufacturing responsibilities include:
 - Reviewing/approving planned CAPA and recommending changes, as necessary
 - Reporting new risks, which may result from a suggested CAPA
 - Completing assigned CAPA tasks and reporting the status/completion of CAPA
 - Reviewing and recommending changes on the overall CAPA based on review of effectiveness checks
 - Participating in determining if any external reporting is required to outside vendors/sponsors of events that may impact products related to their organization

3.4 CQP responsibilities include:

- Tracking open CAPA
- Coordinating with Subject Matter Experts (SME) to review and recommend changes on CAPA, as applicable
- Reviewing, approving, and recommending changes on the overall CAPA based on review of effectiveness checks
- Ensuring implementation of CAPA recommendations

- Determining if any external reporting is required to outside vendors/sponsors of events that may impact products related to their organization
- Reviewing and approving all CAPAs for completeness and appropriate level of detail, including evaluation of risk to affected systems
- Monitoring the CAPA system to facilitate effective management of CAPA and Effectiveness Checks
- Providing status updates to applicable Supervisor/Managers and Medical/Program Directors
- 3.5 Medical Director (MD) responsibilities include:
 - Reporting new risks that can adversely affect a patient's health or medical outcome as a result of a CAPA
 - Reviewing/approving CAPA and recommending changes as necessary
 - Completing assigned CAPA tasks and reporting the status/completion of assigned CAPA
 - Reviewing and recommending changes on the overall CAPA based on review of effectiveness checks

4 DEFINITIONS/ACRONYMS

- 4.1 **APBMT**: Adult and Pediatric Blood and Marrow Transplant Program
- 4.2 **CAPA**: Corrective and Preventive Action
- 4.3 **CAPA Report**: Form used to document the findings of an initiated CAPA event in the MasterControl system.
- 4.4 **Corrective Action (CA)**: Action taken to eliminate the cause of a detected event or deviation. Corrective action is taken to prevent the recurrence of a problem. Please note that any action taken to address the cause of a problem is part of a CAPA (ex., additional training or changes to procedures, processes, or systems).
- 4.5 **CQP**: APBMT Clinical Quality Program
- 4.6 **DCS**: Document Control System
- 4.7 **Effectiveness Check:** Method or data used to determine the effectiveness of a CAPA.
- **4.8 External Reporting:** The dissemination of information to an outside party as required by any applicable regulation, standard, contract, or quality agreement. This could include reporting to the FDA, an external sponsor, or another entity.
- **4.9 Final Quality Approval:** The point in the review process after which an event/CAPA report is considered to be complete/final and in a form that may be disseminated to an outside party as a complete/final document.
- 4.10 **MasterControl**: An electronic 21 CFR compliant electronic data management system.
- 4.11 MD: Medical Director
- 4.12 **OOS**: Out of Specification

- 4.13 **Preventive Action (PA)**: An activity or step implemented to prevent the initial occurrence of a problem, based on an understanding of the product or process. Please note that any action taken to prevent initial occurrence of a problem is part of a CAPA (ex. additional training or changes to procedures, processes or systems)
- 4.14 **Root** Cause: An identified reason for the presence of a defect, problem, deviation, or nonconformity, the most basic reason which, if eliminated, would prevent recurrence. The root cause can also be defined as the source or origin of an event.
- 4.15 **SME**: Subject Matter Expert
- 4.16 **SOP**: Standard Operating Procedure

5 MATERIALS

5.1 N/A

6 EQUIPMENT

6.1 Computer access to MasterControl.

7 SAFETY

7.1 N/A

8 PROCEDURE

- 8.1 When an issue requiring a corrective or preventive action is identified, or otherwise deemed warranted by management, trained personnel will open a CAPA Report in MasterControl. In addition to risk-driven CAPA initiation, a CAPA may be triggered from other quality systems
- 8.2 All changes or actions taken to address/eliminate the root cause of a detected deviation/event should be tracked as a Corrective Action (CA) on COMM-PAS-015 FRM1 *CAPA Report*. Examples would include changes to standard operating procedures (SOPs), processes, or systems; equipment installation and/or repairs; and formal training and/or re-training.
 - 8.2.1 Additionally, changes/actions taken to address/reduce risk, an anticipated failure, or a cause of a potential deviation should be tracked as a Preventive Action (PA) on COMM-PAS-015 FRM1 *CAPA Report*. Examples would include changes to SOPs, processes, or other systems to help prevent the occurrence of an issue.
 - 8.2.2 It is possible that corrective or preventive actions to address an identified root cause may be temporary or require further evaluation, i.e., the action taken is not intended to be permanent but intended to gauge the impact of an action on a system. In these cases, where deviation from established procedure will be required, approved documentation is required for execution of this change to ensure that all affected personnel have the information required to execute the temporary action.

- 8.2.2.1 Examples of the documentation include, but are not limited to:
 - Protocol
 - Executable form or batch record
 - Job Aid
 - Work Instruction
- 8.2.2.2 Such documentation will be reviewed and approved by SME, Area Manager, and CQP before implementation and is a requirement to be attached to the associated COMM-PAS-015 FRM1 *CAPA Report* as part of plan approval.
- 8.2.2.3 CQP review also includes verification that sufficient documentation for the case outlined in section 8.1.3 is included in the CAPA plan to execute the CAPA.
- 8.2.2.4 Additionally, the action and effectiveness check in the CAPA plan must detail the duration for which this change will be in effect, what specific steps will be taken to evaluate the temporary change, what data will be collected, and whether at the end of the evaluation period, procedure will revert to established SOP, or revision to SOP to incorporate the change will be required.
- 8.2.3 If the change/action occurred during the deviation investigation and the actions are completed before closure of the associated COMM-PAS-013 FRM1 *Deviation and Investigation Report*, any actions that fulfill the requirements stated within this SOP should still be captured on COMM-PAS-015 FRM1 *CAPA Report*.
- 8.2.4 As defined in COMM-PAS-014 *Risk Assessment Procedure*, CAPAs may be required as an outcome of the associated risk assessments/score. All risk assessments associated with event investigations should be expanded to include potential, related outcomes that could occur in the future despite not having occurred in this specific event, so that any potential preventive actions can be evaluated and captured. To help accomplish this, a systemic view should be taken when looking at the event/issue to help determine if any changes can be made to facilitate a reduced risk of a similar event occurring in the future. If a preventive action (PA) is warranted, after completing this expanded assessment of alternative outcomes, it should be tracked as a CAPA on COMM-PAS-015 FRM1 *CAPA Report*.
- 8.3 Timeline for CAPA Initiation
 - 8.3.1 A CAPA should be initiated before closure of the associated event (deviation/investigation, adverse event, complaint, OOS, etc.), risk assessment, audit finding, or related document. The CAPA will be

- documented using the CAPA Report (COMM-PAS-015 FRM1). As a best practice, the CAPA Report should undergo pre-approval routing (first routing) in parallel with or around the same time as an associated event.
- 8.3.2 All proposed effectiveness checks for verification of CAPA, as well as projected completion dates and responsible parties, should be outlined in the applicable section of the CAPA report before initial QA and Medical Director approval for implementation. Timelines for effectiveness checks may be determined on a case-by-case basis and represent an adequate period of time sufficient to accurately gauge effectiveness. The rationale for the duration of an effectiveness check should be stated in the CAPA report.
- 8.4 Completing the MasterControl CAPA Report
 - 8.4.1 Refer to Appendix A for detailed instructions on completing the sections of the CAPA Report.
 - 8.4.2 If the initiator of a CAPA is not the Supervisor/Manager, modify step routes, as applicable, to include the Supervisor/Manager for review and approval.
 - 8.4.3 Verify that the appropriate SMEs (e.g., individuals assigned as a responsible person to an action or effectiveness check in a CAPA), Medical/Program Director, and/or physician, as applicable, are selected for review and approval routes and modify step routes as necessary.
 - 8.4.3.1 If a CAPA requires cross-functional collaboration for the execution of corrective/preventive actions or effectiveness checks, it is recommended that a meeting be convened before routing for plan approval to ensure alignment between functional groups on dates, actions, and content.

8.5 Review Process

- 8.5.1 Each CAPA route goes through the MasterControl system twice:
 - 8.5.1.1 First Routing: Pre-approval of CAPA(s), Proposed Effectiveness Check(s) and Pre-CAPA Risk Assessment Summary.
 - 8.5.1.2 Second Routing: Final approval of CAPA Outcome, Outcome of the Effectiveness Check(s), and the Effectiveness Check Risk Assessment Evaluation.
 - 8.5.1.3 If anticipated timelines for the CAPA and/or the effectiveness checks are not met during the second routing, an explanation is detailed in the applicable section of the CAPA Report.
- 8.5.2 Upon second routing of the CAPA, for approval of the CAPA Outcome and Outcome of the Effectiveness Check, when applicable, (as detailed in Appendix A) initiators must complete an Effectiveness Check Risk

Assessment Evaluation in which initiators will reassess if the risk was reduced as a result of the implemented CAPA(s).

- 8.5.2.1 During this review, when applicable, initiators will address a review of any applicable risk assessments completed to ensure consideration of how the CAPA impacts already established risks, and to ensure this is factored into the determination of the effectiveness of this change and its associated risk score.
- 8.5.2.2 If this evaluation determines that the associated risk was not sufficiently reduced to an acceptable level, or if the associated Effectiveness Checks cannot demonstrate that the associated CAPA is effective, in consultation with CQP, additional CAPA(s) may be necessary. Additionally, initiators should evaluate within this CAPA if the identified root cause of the associated event was well defined/established, and/or if the CAPA did not address the root cause sufficiently.
- 8.5.3 CQP completes a detailed assessment on all submitted CAPAs for completeness, a suitable level of detail, and appropriate resolution of the original issue, when applicable.
- 8.5.4 CQP assesses step routing for completeness and accuracy and modifies steps as necessary to ensure thorough review.
- 8.5.5 CAPA Report evaluation and approval routes include: Supervisor/Manager, Operations Review, designated trained physicians, Medical/Program Director, and CQP Director, as applicable.
 - 8.5.5.1 Reviewers may reject any route step during the evaluation and approval process to ensure consistent and accurate documentation.
- 8.5.6 Open CAPA Reports are monitored and discussed by CQP in a periodic event meeting. CAPA progress will be actively monitored by the CQP in a variety of mechanisms, which may include but are not limited to monthly event management tracking meetings and regular reports that are sent to the applicable Medical Directors, as applicable. These meetings and reports will highlight key statistics such as the initiation date and projected completion date.

8.6 Maintenance of Records

- 8.6.1 CAPA Reports and associated forms are maintained in MasterControl and are accessible for printing and review. Reports may be generated by Document Control System (DCS) or CQP upon request.
- 8.6.2 All records are maintained according to the associated Program's procedure(s) for Records Management or Records Retention.

9 RELATED DOCUMENTS/FORMS

- 9.1 COMM-PAS-013 Deviations and Investigations
- 9.2 COMM-PAS-013 FRM1 Deviation and Investigation Report
- 9.3 COMM-PAS-015 FRM1 CAPA Report
- 9.4 COMM-PAS-014 Risk Assessment Procedure
- 9.5 COMM-PAS-006 Product Complaint Management
- 9.6 STCL-QA-007 Non-Conforming Products Receipt, Processing, Distribution, and Disposition

10 REFERENCES

- 10.1 21 CFR 211.22(a) Responsibilities of a Quality Control Unit
- 10.2 21 CFR 211.100 Written Procedures; Deviations
- 10.3 21 CFR 1271 Human Cells, Tissues, and Cellular and Tissue-Based Products
- 10.4 FACT-JACIE International Standards for Cellular Therapy; Current Edition
- 10.5 FACT Common Standards for Cellular Therapies; Current Edition

11 REVISION HISTORY

Revision No.	Author	Description of Change(s)
01	M. Christen	New document

Appendix A

Instructions for completing COMM-PAS-015 FRM1 CAPA Report

- Complete the CAPA Report, filling in all required information.
- Record N/A in any field that does not apply.
- Note: The CAPA routes twice. Initially for pre-approval of CAPA(s) and Proposed Effectiveness Check(s) (first routing) and a second time for the Outcome of the CAPA and Effectiveness Check(s) (second routing).

Section	Instructions	
CAPA Report Number	CAPA Report number is auto-populated by Master Control.	
CAPA Report Initiated By:	This field is auto-populated by MasterControl to indicate which user initiated the CAPA Report.	
Date Initiated	This field is auto-populated by MasterControl to indicate what date the CAPA Report was initiated.	
Tab 1: CAPA Summary T	ab	
Program	Select applicable program for which the CAPA pertains.	
Event Report(s) associated with this CAPA	Enter the report number(s) that resulted in this CAPA report (ex. Deviation/Investigation, Risk Assessment Report, AE, Complaint).	
Issue Statement	Summarize the issue and root cause of the issue being addressed by this CAPA. Please remember that this CAPA may be routing months after the original event.	
	Note: Although the entire event does not need to be summarized again, please provide enough details that anyone could generally understand the situation.	
CAPA and EC – 1, 2, 3 Ta	b	
Corrective Actions	For pre-approval (First Routing) of the CAPA report:	
(Pre-Approval Routing/First Routing)	If the CAPA is corrective, list all Corrective Actions (measures to correct the cause of the issue and prevent recurrence). Select appropriate toggle to indicate if action is corrective.	
	Add projected completion date	
	Add initials of responsible person	
	Note: If the action is intended to be temporary, detail must be included on the intended duration and steps to be taken following the implementation/evaluation period. Additionally, CAPA plan must include documentation as detailed in Step 8.1.3.1 above (I.e. protocol, Job Aid, executable form or batch record, or work instruction), to detail exact steps to be taken to execute the temporary action.	
	Note: the evaluation period for any temporary change will not begin until there is approval, including the CAPA owner and Quality Assurance, of the documentation to be used to implement the temporary change.	

Section	Instructions		
	Note: As a best practice, the CAPA Report should undergo pre-approval		
	routing in parallel with or around the same time as the associated event.		
Preventive Actions	For pre-approval (First Routing) of the CAPA report:		
(Pre-Approval	If the CAPA is preventive, list all Preventive Actions (action to eliminate		
Routing/First Routing)	the cause of a potential issue). Select appropriate toggle to indicate if		
	action is preventive.		
	Add projected completion date		
	Add initials of responsible person		
	Note: If the action is intended to be temporary, detail must be included on		
	the intended duration and steps to be taken following the period, and also		
	must include documentation to detail exact steps to be taken to execute the		
	temporary action.		
	Note: As a best practice, the CAPA Report should undergo pre-approval		
	routing in parallel with or around the same time as the associated event.		
Change Control	Include any change control request number(s) that were associated with		
Request(s) associated with	changes from this CAPA report.		
this CAPA			
(Second Routing)	Note: May be populated if known at time of pre-approval/first routing but		
CAPA Outcome	required to be populated at time of second routing. For final approval (Second Routing) of the CAPA report:		
(Final/Second Routing)	After completion of the CAPA and effectiveness checks, describe the		
(1 man second Routing)	outcome of the CAPA(s). For example, what was implemented and when		
	was the associated document or process implemented?		
	Add date completed (in associated Corrective and Preventive)		
	Actions Box) and describe outcome of Corrective or Preventive		
	Action(s). Date should match any documented dates described in		
	text and/or attachments; If not, explain in text.		
	• If projected Corrective or Preventive Action(s) date is not met,		
	please explain why completion was delayed and evaluate if there		
	is any impact due to the delay.		
Proposed Effectiveness	For pre-approval (First Routing) of the CAPA report:		
Checks	To be populated during first routing with proposed Corrective or		
(Pre-Approval/First	Preventive Action(s). List all Proposed Effectiveness Checks (measures		
Routing)	to verify effectiveness of CAPA).		
	Add projected completion date Add in idials of company it is a great and in its initials of the second secon		
	Add initials of responsible person Fach CARA should have an appropriate Effectiveness Check		
	 Each CAPA should have an appropriate Effectiveness Check. The rationale for the duration of an effectiveness check should be 		
	• The rationale for the duration of an effectiveness check should be stated.		
	 If any additional actions are required (such as actions taken 		
	following a temporary corrective action), steps to be taken to		
	implement the temporary action into procedure, or to revert to		
	established procedure must be included.		

Section	Instructions
Effectiveness Check	For final approval (Second Routing) of the CAPA report:
Outcome	When the CAPA routes for the final (second) time, describe the
(Final/Second Routing)	effectiveness check outcome in the associated box. For example, what
	occurred during the effectiveness check and did it indicate the CAPA was
	effective? If not, describe and/or formulate additional CAPA and/or
	effectiveness checks for existing CAPA. A new CAPA will likely be
	needed if effectiveness was not demonstrated.
	If there were any changes made from the original proposal, please explain
	those and detail why the effectiveness check is still adequate and
	appropriate for the CAPA.
	Add date completed (in associated Effectiveness Checks Box)
	If projected EC date is not met, please explain why completion
	was delayed and evaluate if there is any impact due to the delay.
	was delayed and evaluate it there is any impact due to the delay.
	Note: The effectiveness check(s) should demonstrate full resolution of
	the problem with no repeat occurrences of the same type of incident. If
	this cannot be demonstrated, then a new CAPA may be needed.
Risk Assessment Tab	<u> </u>
Pre-CAPA Risk	For CAPAs generated as a result of an event (deviation/investigation,
Assessment Summary	complaint, etc.) or where a risk assessment was completed per scoring in
(First Routing)	COMM-QA-077 Risk Assessment Procedure, populate details of the
ļ ·	original Risk Assessment associated with this CAPA.
	• Include the scores associated with each risk assessment parameter
	and the corresponding combined risk assessment score.
Effectiveness Check Risk	When the CAPA routes for the final (second) time, use this section to
Assessment Evaluation	document a reevaluation of the original Risk Assessment associated with
(Second Routing)	this CAPA.
	Summarize the overall risk assessment outcome after implementation of
	associated CAPA(s).
	 Include a reassessment of each risk assessment parameter and
	associated score
	 Clearly address if risk was reduced after implementation of
	CAPAs and if effectiveness and acceptable level of risk
	demonstrated.
T	
External Reporting / Atta	
External Reporting	Use this section to document the determination of any need for regulatory
	or other external reporting to vendors/sponsors, per applicable quality
	agreements. If notification is required in advance of closing the CAPA,
	documentation, such as email, FAX etc. should be attached to the
	document in the Attachments section. This section to be populated by
A sto alone cost o	author/initiator if known at time of report and/or QSU at time of review.
Attachments	Use this section to attach all applicable documents.
Appendix Tab	

COMM-PAS-015 Corrective and Preventive Actions APBMT Clinical Quality Program, Duke Cancer Institute (DCI) Durham, NC

Section	Instructions	
Appendix from COMM-	The Appendix from COMM-PAS-015 Corrective and Preventive Actions	
PAS-015	is attached for easy reference.	

Signature Manifest

Document Number: COMM-PAS-015 **Revision:** 01

Title: Corrective and Preventive Actions

Effective Date: 01 Jul 2025

All dates and times are in Eastern Time.

COMM-PAS-015 Corrective and Preventive Actions

Author

Name/Signature	Title	Date	Meaning/Reason
Mary Beth Christen (MC363)		26 Jun 2025, 05:17:06 PM	Approved

Management

Name/Signature	Title	Date	Meaning/Reason
Stefanie Sarantopoulos (SS595)	Professor of Medicine	26 Jun 2025, 06:33:15 PM	Approved

Medical Director

Name/Signature	Title	Date	Meaning/Reason
Joanne Kurtzberg (KURTZ001)		26 Jun 2025, 07:29:19 PM	Approved

Quality

Name/Signature	Title	Date	Meaning/Reason
Mary Beth Christen (MC363)		27 Jun 2025, 12:36:23 AM	Approved

Document Release

Name/Signature	Title	Date	Meaning/Reason
Amy McKoy (ACM93)	Document Control Specialist	30 Jun 2025, 05:33:25 PM	Approved